United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,405	02/05/2004	Gerwin Preisinger	024943-057 3822		
21839 7.	590 11/13/2006		EXAMINER		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			THOMAS, LUCY M		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
.,	, ======		2836		

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/771,405	PREISINGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Lucy Thomas	2836		

	Lucy Thomas	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in t	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Ca	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the contract of the contrac	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	<u> </u>		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>10/19/20</u>	006	
·			
		BURTON S. M	me -
· ·		BURTON S. M PRIMARY EXA	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 10/19/2006 have been considered (Examiner notes that some the documents have been previously filed and considered). Regarding Applicant's arguments toward Susumu reference: Compensation means 12 disclosed by Susumu et al. compensates the shaft current ij flowing to the bearing, and thereby reduce or eleiminate damage caused by electrocorrosion of the bearing (see Abstract). Susumu's compensating means removes the accumulated charges 17, 18, and according to Drawing 2 and Paragraph 16, 12 is directly connected to capacitor Cb of bearing 4, and therefore a direct coupling of the compensation current into the bearing. It is noted that the Applicant's translation differs from the Web translation in that Cb is defined as the capacitance of an oil film between the shaft 3 and the bearing 4, and in this case there is an indirect coupling of the compensation current into the bearing. Please see final rejection mailed on 6/19/2006 for details.